| S | ummer II 20 | | | | | | | http://assessmei |
|--|--|------|---|---|---|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| | | Mean | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | sers_guide.htm# |
| | 1. Please rate the overall quality of instruction from this instructor (instructor 1). | 4.67 | | | | 3 | 6 | Link to response |
| | 2. Instructor 1 had a thorough knowledge of the subject matter. | 4.89 | | | | 1 | 8 | http://assessmer |
| ~ | 3. Instructor 1 was enthusiastic about the course. | 5.00 | | | | | 9 | ty_or_codes.nu |
| Instructor 1 | 4. Instructor 1 explained material clearly and in a way that enhanced my understanding. | 4.67 | | | 1 | 1 | 7 | Choose course |
| stru | 5. Instructor 1 was well prepared. | 4.78 | | | | 2 | 7 | All |
| ű | 6. Instructor 1 provided timely and helpful feedback on my work and performance in the course | 4.78 | | | | 2 | 7 | |
| | 7. Instructor 1 was willing to meet and help students outside of class. | 4.67 | | | 1 | 1 | 7 | |
| | 8. Instructor 1 fostered a positive class dynamic and atmosphere conducive to learning. | 4.89 | | | | 1 | 8 | |
| 0 | 1. Please rate the overall quality of instruction from this instructor (instructor 2). | | | | | | | Course: |
| able | 2. Instructor 2 had a thorough knowledge of the subject matter. | | | | | | | Instructor 1: |
| plic | 3. Instructor 2 was enthusiastic about the course. | | | | | | | Instructor 2: |
| if applicable | 4. Instructor 2 explained material clearly and in a way that enhanced my understanding. | | | | | | | SACES |
| r 2, | 5. Instructor 2 was well prepared. | | | | | | | Forms returned: |
| ucto | 6. Instructor 2 provided timely and helpful feedback on my work and performance in the course | | | | | | | Combined enroll |
| Instructor 2, | 7. Instructor 2 was willing to meet and help students outside of class. | | | | | | | Return rate as % |
| - | 8. Instructor 2 fostered a positive class dynamic and atmosphere conducive to learning. | | | | | | | |
| w | 1. The course materials (readings, textbooks, labs, online, etc.) engaged my interest. | 3.78 | | 1 | 1 | 6 | 1 | |
| mic | 2. The course assignments (papers, projects, problem sets, etc.) helped me learn. | 4.11 | | | 2 | 4 | 3 | |
| Course Dynamics | 3. The course was well-structured and organized. | 4.56 | | | | 4 | 5 | |
| Se D | 4. The course expectations and requirements were clear. | 4.78 | | | | 2 | 7 | |
| ours | 5. The course was intellectually stimulating and made me think. | 4.63 | | | | 3 | 5 | |
| C | 6. The grading procedures were appropriate and fair. | 4.56 | | | | 4 | 5 | Would you recor course to other s |
| 2 | 1. Give an overall rating for the quality of this course. | 4.63 | | | | 3 | 5 | |
| ualii | 2. Give an overall rating for quality of instruction. | 4.63 | | | | 3 | 5 | |
| Course Quality | 3. Overall, how much did you learn in this course. | 4.75 | | | | 2 | 6 | |
| ours | 4. How would you characterize the workload in this course? | 3.50 | | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | Instructor course |
| ŭ | 5. How difficult was this course for you? | 3.56 | | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | description, if appl |
| | 1. Gaining factual knowledge. | 4.38 | | | | 5 | 3 | Important |
| Jg | 2. Understanding fundamental concepts and principles. | 4.50 | | | | 4 | 4 | Essential |
| ruir | 3. Learning to apply knowledge, concepts, principles, or theories to a specific situation or prob. | 4.38 | | | 1 | 3 | 4 | Essential |
| , Leé | 4. Learning to analyze ideas, arguments, and points of view. | 4.50 | | | | 4 | 4 | Important |
| lege ectiv | 5. Learning to synthesize and integrate knowledge. | 4.50 | | | | 4 | 4 | Essential |
| Coll | 6. Learning to conduct inquiry through methods of the field. | 3.75 | | 2 | | 4 | 2 | Important |
| Trinity College Learning Objectives | 7. Learning to evaluate the merits of ideas and competing claims. | 3.88 | | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | Essential |
| H, | 8. My ability to effectively communicate ideas orally. | 3.88 | | 2 | | 3 | 3 | Minor importance |
| | 9. My ability to effectively communicate ideas in writing. | 3.13 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | Minor importance |
| Но М | How many hours per week, on average, did you spend outside of scheduled class time (inclu | 3.11 | | 1 | 6 | 2 | | 1-3 hours/week |

Instructions for printing: http://assessment.aas.duke.edu/Tableau_CE_u sers_guide.htm#basic_print

to response codes: ://assessment.aas.duke.edu/Trini-CE_codes.htm

| Course: | INTRODUCTORY PSY |
|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Instructor 1: | Capestany, Beatrice H |
| Instructor 2: | |
| SACES | Global opt-in |
| Forms returned: | 9 |
| Combined enrollment: | 11 |
| Return rate as %: | 81.818 |

| | Y | N |
|--|---|---|
| Would you recommend this course to other students? | 7 | 1 |

Click the arrow below to open written comments. ructor course ription, if appl.:



Summer II 20.